

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/686,418

Applicant(s)

CZERWONKA, JACEK A.

Examiner

Chuck O. Kendall

Art Unit

2192

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Chuck O. Kendall.(3) Tom Bonacci.(2) Jens Jenkins (44,803).

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 08/16/07 & 08/20/07.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: ____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Called attorney and suggested possible amendments to claim 1, Attorney agreed to make amendments to properly point out claimed limitations. Applicant will respond with a proposed amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

8/20/07 Chuck O. Kendall
Examiner's signature, if required

CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEY/CLIENT COMMUNICATION
DO NOT ENTER IN THE RECORD

METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR EFFICIENT TESTING OF SEQUENCES OF COMPUTER-RELATED OPERATIONS

Serial No.: 10/686,418

Attorney Docket No.: 13768.783.114

Dear Examiner Kendall,

As per our telephone conversation today, Aug 17, 2007, I am authorizing the following Examiner's amendments to claim 1 to place the claim into condition for allowance. The other independent claims incorporate claim 1, so they, too, should be allowable by this amendment. Please call to discuss any concerns. (801.321.8943)

regards,
Tom Bonacci
Jens Jenkins
Registration No. 44,803

1. (Currently Amended) In a computing environment, a method for determining sequences of elements of computer operations for inclusion in test cases, the method comprising:
- receiving an original model of computer operations comprising a plurality of elements, the elements representing operations to test;
 - receiving as input an interger N, representing a length of subsequences; N being greater than or equal to 2, wherein N represents the length of subsequences of elements to be composed for test cases;
 - receiving as input an integer M representing the maximum length of a test case;
 - generating a list of fixed length subsequences from the elements, each subsequence being of fixed length and comprising N elements, and the list comprising all possible subsequences of length N of elements within the model, such that each every possible subsequence of elements of length N is represented within the list;
 - removing from the list of subsequences each subsequence having been designated as invalid in a predefined list of invalid subsequences; and
 - generating a list of test cases by concatenating subsequences from the list of subsequences, wherein each test case comprising comprises M elements, from the list of subsequences, and such that each valid subsequence appears at least once within the list of test cases.

CONFIDENTIAL--DO NOT ENTER IN THE RECORD